UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/524,154	02/11/2005	Johann Natterer	2852/5	1572	
Michael H Bar	7590 03/13/2007 niak		ЕХАМ	INER	
Baniak Pine & Gannon			DURAND, PAUL R		
Suite 1200 150 North Wad	cker Drive		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Chicago, IL 60606			3721		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/524,154	NATTERER, JOHANN .		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul Durand	3721		

	Paul Durand	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in a	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		136(a) and the appropria	ta avtancion faa
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	in the second	Alexandra and an alle	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	TE below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .	·		
Claim(s) rejected: 7-0. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consid because: See Continuation Sheet.	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), has bee	en considered	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(
		CALIT	H H TAWFIK
		≥ N N N N	

SAMEH H. TAWFIK PRIMARY EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 20070305

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner does not agree with applicant's argument that the reference of Stockley does not disclose the film being clamped prior to evacuation. In figure 3, Stockley clearly shows upper film 42 in a clamping relationship with members 40 and 50, prior to evacuation of the product and raising of the tray. In regards to the argument regarding the 103 rejection, the examiner asserts that Stockley discloses the film in a clamped condition and there is sufficient motivation to combine the reference of Sanfilippo .

Continuation of 13. Other: Japan reference JP 56-131117 has not been considered as it does not contain an english translation.